1		STATE OF ARIZONA	STATE OF ARIZONA FILED
2	D	DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE	JAN 3 1997
3			DEPT. OF INSURANCE
4			1000
5	In the Matter of:) Docket No. 96A-080-INS	
6	THOMAS-DAVIS MEDICAL CENTER,) ORDER	
7	Petitioner.)	
8		<i>)</i>	
9			
10	On December 5, 1996, the Office of Administrative Hearings, through Administrative		
11	Law Judge Lewis D. Kowal, submitted the "Recommended Decision of Administrative Law Judge" (the		
12	"Recommended Decision"), a copy of which is attached and incorporated by this reference. The		
13	Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance has reviewed the Recommended Decision and enters		
14	the following order:		
15	1. The recommended findings of fact and conclusions of law are adopted.		
16	2. Thomas-Davis Medical Center is an industrial insured subject to premium		
17	taxation pursuant to A.R.S. §20-401.07.		
18	NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS		
19	The aggrieved party may request a rehearing with respect to this Order by filing a written		
20	petition with the Office of Administrative Hearings within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth		
21	the basis for such relief pursuant to A.A.C. R20-6-114(B).		
22			
23			

The final decision of the Director may be appealed to the Superior Court of Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-166. 2 DATED this 3 day of Janvany 3 4 5 6 7 A copy of the foregoing mailed this 30 day of January 1997 8 9 Charles R. Cohen, Deputy Director John Gagne, Assistant Director Catherine O'Neil, Assistant Director 10 Maureen Catalioto, Supervisor Department of Insurance 11 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018 12 Office of Administrative Hearings 13 1700 W. Washington, Suite 602 Phoenix, AZ 85007 14 W. Mark Sendrow 15 Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington 16 Phoenix, AZ 85007 17 John P. Flynn 18 Low & Childers, P.C. 2999 N. 44th Street, Suite 250 19 Phoenix, AZ 85018 20 21 22

1

IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In the Matter of:

No. 96A-080-INS

THOMAS-DAVIS MEDICAL CENTER.

RECOMMENDED DECISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Petitioner.

HEARING: The record of this hearing closed on November 22, 1996.

APPEARANCES: John P. Flynn, Esq. for the Petitioner and W. Mark Sendrow, Assistant Attorney General for the Arizona Department of Insurance.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lewis D. Kowal

Based on the entire record, the following Recommended Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order are made:

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

The parties agreed to have this matter decided on submission of legal briefs and stipulated facts in lieu of oral argument. The issue to be decided is whether Thomas-Davis Medical Center ("TDMC") is required to submit premium taxes to the Arizona Department of Insurance ("the Department") pursuant to A.R.S. §20-401.07 or whether TDMC is exempt from such taxation by virtue of A.R.S. §20-107(B).

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. TDMC is an Arizona professional corporation comprised of licensed physicians, with its administrative offices in Tucson, Arizona.
- 2. TDMC provides medical services to the enrollees of Intergroup, an Arizona health care services organization licensed in accordance with A.R.S. §20-1051 et seq. Intergroup is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Foundation Health Corporation ("FHC").

Office of Administrative Hearings 1700 West Washington, Suite 602 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-9826

3

5 6

7 8

9

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

28

- 3. FHC is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in California.
- 4. TDMC is a related corporation of FHC in that it is included within FHC's consolidated state and federal tax returns.
- 5. Russell O'Donnell is a full-time employee of FHC providing services as the Director of Risk Management. Mr. O'Donnell is employed and resides in the state of California. In the capacity as FHC Director of Risk Management, Mr. O'Donnell supervises risk management and insurance matters for FHC, as well as other subsidiaries and affiliated corporations, including TDMC.
- 6. FHC management determined in 1994 that it would be appropriate to form a wholly-owned Cayman Islands insurer, FH Assurance Company ("FH Assurance"), for the purpose of providing workers' compensation and professional liability insurance coverage for FHC, certain subsidiaries, as well as TDMC.
- 7. During the last half of 1994, Mr. O'Donnell and other representatives of FHC participated in meetings in the State of California for the purpose of determining the business efficacy of forming a Cayman Islands captive insurer.
- 8. The development of the insurance policies, negotiation of reinsurance/ stop-loss coverage, and the formation of FH Assurance all took place outside of the State of Arizona. Mr. O'Donnell, as well as other representatives of FHC and TriStar Risk Management (Tri-Star"), a California corporation retained as claims administrator and manager for FH Assurance, traveled to the Cayman Islands for the purpose of forming FH Assurance.
- 9. FH Assurance was incorporated under the laws of the Cayman Islands on January 31, 1995, as a wholly-owned subsidiary of FHC. FH Assurance represents it is financially solvent. As part of its insuring structure, FH Assurance continues to have reinsurance/stop-loss coverage in place with insurers. FH Assurance has provided the Department with a listing of participating excess insurers.
- 10. According to an Industrial Insured Certification Statement ("Certification Statement") filed by TDMC with the Arizona Department of Insurance ("Department") on

 March 1, 1996, TDMC procured general and professional liability insurance from FH Assurance. Further, according to the Certification Statement, Mr. O'Donnell provided the risk management services in procuring the insurance policy. Subsequent to the filing of the Certification Statement, TDMC sought to challenge the Department's determination that TDMC is an industrial insured.

- 11. The insurance procured by TDMC is of the type that appears in the January 26, 1994 Order of the Director of the Department, pursuant to A.R.S. §20-409 concerning recognized surplus lines (i.e. coverages not readily procurable from authorized insurers).
- 12. Many claims filed under the FH Assurance policy are adjusted and may be litigated in Arizona.
- 13. Following the formation and funding of FH Assurance, representatives of FHC management developed the structure and terms of the insurance policy to be issued to TDMC. The master policy issued to TDMC was transmitted from FH Assurance to FHC in the State of California. The policy was procured by FHC, on behalf of TDMC, without the involvement or retention of an insurance agent or representative, other than Russell O'Donnell.
- 14. Pursuant to the TDMC-Foundation Health Medical Services, Inc. ("FHMS") administrative service agreement, TDMC revenue and an administrative service fee are regularly deposited in the California account of FHMS. Following FHMS's verification of the policy information as stated in the premium statement, FHMS remits premium payments from the State of California to Tri-Star as FH Assurance's manager and claims administrator, for subsequent deposit in the appropriate California account of the Cayman Islands insurer.
- 15. At policy inception, FHC forwarded a sample boiler-plate policy and claims reporting guidelines to TDMC in Arizona for dissemination to insured and additional insured persons and entities.
- 16. Tri-Star, formerly TOPA Risk Management, was incorporated and has its principal place of business in the State of California. Additionally, Tri-Star maintains an

office in Phoenix, Arizona which is staffed by a licensed Arizona adjuster. In the event of a loss by TDMC or an additional named insured, Tri-Star assists in the administration of the claim, either from its California office or its satellite office located in Phoenix, Arizona. In the event of a claim or notification of a potential claim, notice is generally provided to Mr. O'Donnell at FHC in California by FHMS. Mr. O'Donnell subsequently provides notice to Tri-Star in California, which is responsible for administering the claim, with the assistance of its Phoenix satellite office.

- 17. In the event a covered claim is required to be paid, Tri-Star provides for the payment and the draft is remitted from the State of California to the claimant in whatever jurisdiction the claimant is located or residing.
- 18. After a Department examination of Intergroup revealed that TDMC procured medical malpractice insurance from FH Assurance, an unauthorized insurer, the Department sought to collect a premium tax from TDMC in connection with the FH Assurance policy pursuant to A.R.S. §20-401.07.
- 19. The declaration page of the FH Assurance policy lists the named insured as TDMC, 655 North Alvernon, Suite 200, P.O. Box 12650, Tucson, Arizona 85732. The policy also lists as additional insureds a number of Arizona physicians who are or were employed by TDMC. The policy provides for "claims made" coverage and is retroactive to October 1, 1980.
- 20. Premium taxes have not been paid to the Department with respect to the insurance coverage issued to TDMC by FH Assurance.
- 21. On February 26, 1996, representatives of FHC and Tri-Star met with Department staff members to discuss the FH Assurance policy and the Department's initial determination that TDMC was an industrial insured.
- 22. As a result of the February 26, 1996 meeting with Department representatives, FHC representatives directed TDMC to complete a Certification Statement as an Industrial Insured.

23. According to the Certification Statement, TDMC has 223 full-time employees and an annual aggregate insurance premium payment in the amount of \$3,000,000.00.

- 24. TDMC employs approximately 267 physicians in providing medical services in Arizona. As part of the TDMC employment contract with its physicians, it provides medical malpractice insurance. TDMC has satisfied this agreement by procuring the general professional liability insurance from FH Assurance, an insurer domiciled in the Cayman Islands and not authorized to transact the business of insurance in the State of Arizona.
- 25. Within 24 hours of the direction provided to TDMC, FHC determined to contest the Department's stated position that TDMC was required to submit the aforementioned Certification and remit premium taxes pursuant to A.R.S. §20-107(B). TDMC had, however, expeditiously forwarded the Certification to the Department in the interim.
- 26. TDMC subsequently filed with the Department a Demand for Hearing contesting the Department's decision that premium taxes were required to be remitted pursuant to A.R.S. §20-401.07. The legal support stated in the Demand for Hearing was A.R.S. §20-107(B) and constitutional principles of due process.
- 27. Russell O'Donnell is listed in the Certification Statement as the qualified insurance consultant regularly and continuously retained by TDMC.
- 28. The Certification Statement was signed by Glen Randolph, CEO of TDMC, who asserted that the statements and information contained in the Certification Statement were true and accurate to the best of his knowledge and belief.
- 29. Pursuant to A.R.S. §20-601, the Director of the Department must hold a hearing when a person aggrieved by any act or threatened act of the Director of the Department has filed a written demand for a hearing.
- 30. In this instance, TDMC had the right to demand a hearing prior to filing the Certification Statement with the Department but did not do so until after such filing had occurred.

- 31. TDMC's contention that A.R.S. §20-401.07 is unconstitutional will not be addressed by the Administrative Law Judge as it is outside the jurisdiction of this tribunal to address such constitutional issues. However, TDMC's contention and the Department's response is noted and preserved for the record.
- 32. Pursuant to A.R.S. §20-401.07(B) the term" industrial insured" means an insured:
 - Which procures the insurance of any risk or risks other than life, disability and annuity contracts by use of the services of a full-time employee acting as an insurance manager or buyer or the services of a regularly and continuously retained qualified consultant.
 - 2. Whose aggregate annual premiums for insurance on all risks total at least twenty-five thousand dollars.
 - 3. Which has at least twenty-five full-time employees.
 - 33. A.R.S. §20-401.07(A) provides in part that:

"Every industrial insured under a contract procured from an unauthorized insurer shall pay to the director...a premium receipts tax of three per cent of the gross premiums, less premiums returned on account of cancellation or reduction of premium, charged for insurance on subjects resident, located or to be performed in this state...."

34. A.R.S. §20-107 states:

- A. No person shall transact a business of insurance in this state, or relative to a subject of insurance resident, located or to be performed in this state, without complying with the applicable provisions of this title.
- B. No provision of this title shall be deemed to require any license or other authority, or impose any penalty or requirement except as provided by §20-421, of or upon any person for negotiation or procurement of insurance by him upon his own insurable interests, with or from an insurer not authorized to transact insurance in this state.

35. TDMC contends that by virtue of the provisions of A.R.S. §20-107(B), TDMC is not subject to the premium tax requirements of A.R.S. §20-401.07. The Department asserts that the provisions of A.R.S. §20-107(B) only pertains to licensure and is not legally sufficient to create an exemption from premium taxation. Further, the Department argues that the provisions for premium taxation of industrial insureds did not exist when A.R.S. §20-107(B) was enacted and therefore, it can not be reasonably inferred that the legislature intended to exempt industrial insureds under that statute.

36. Counsel for TDMC asserts that A.R.S. §20-107(B) provides an exception to premium taxation if a person qualifies under that statute. A.R.S. §20-107(B) requires that: a) a person has negotiated or procured insurance for himself; b) the insurance is for the person's own insurable interests; and c) that the insurance be negotiated with or procured from an unauthorized insurer.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. TDMC satisfies the three requirements of A.R.S. §20-107(B) as set forth in paragraph 36 of the above Findings of Fact. First, it is undisputed that Russell O'Donnell acted on behalf of FHC and TDMC when he procured the FH Assurance policy. Second, the master policy lists TDMC as the named insured Although there may be additional insureds such as physicians employed by TDMC, that does not in any way diminish the fact that TDMC has an insurable interest in the FH Assurance policy. Third, it is undisputed that FH Assurance is an unauthorized insurer from which the professional liability insurance policy was procured on behalf of TDMC.
- 2. As counsel for TDMC has stated, the legislature is presumed to know the law. Therefore, when the legislature enacted A.R.S. §20-401.07, it is presumed that the legislature knew of A.R.S. §20-107(B) and its application. In enacting A.R.S. §20-401.07, the legislature could have specifically exempted persons falling within the purview of A.R.S. §20-107(B) and did not do so. Effect is to be given to legislative intent. In this instance, the legislature intended to create a premium tax for certain persons who qualify as an industrial insured when it enacted A.R.S. §20-401.07.

3. When the legislature enacted A.R.S. §20-107(B), it could not have intended to exempt industrial insureds from premium taxation because at that time there was no provision for such taxation. Further, for a tax exemption to exist under statute, the exemption must be stated in unequivocal terms. People of Faith, Inc. v. Arizona Dept. of Revenue, 161 Ariz. 514, 520, 779 P.2d 829, 834 (Tax Ct. 1989), aff'd 171 Ariz. 140, 829 P.2d 330 (App. 1992).

- 4. The thrust of A.R.S. §20-107(B) is on licensure and other regulatory requirements of persons who independently procure or negotiate insurance on their own behalf. Therefore, A.R.S. §20-107(B) does not exempt persons falling within that statute from the provisions of A.R.S. §20-401.07
- 5. Although TDMC negotiated or procured insurance as set forth in A.R.S. §20-107(B), TDMC meets all of the three criteria set forth in A.R.S. §20-401.07 to be considered an industrial insured. First, Russell O'Donnell was identified in the Certification Statement as the person who is the regularly and continuously retained insurance consultant who procured the professional liability insurance on behalf of TDMC. This is further supported by the stipulated facts submitted by the parties. Mr. O'Donnell participated in the formation of FH Assurance so as to provide to FHC and its subsidiaries and affiliated corporations including TDMC professional liability insurance and Mr. O'Donnell actively supervises risk management and insurance matters. Further, Mr. O'Donnell is notified by FHMS of a claim or a potential claim on the FH Assurance policy. Subsequently, Mr. O'Donnell notifies Tri-Star which administers the claim. Second, the Certification Statement states that TDMC has an annual aggregate insurance premium payment of \$3,000,000.00. Third, the Certification Statement represents that TDMC has 223 full-time employees.
- 6. The evidence of record established that TDMC is an industrial insured within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-401.07 and that A.R.S. §20-107(B) does not exempt TDMC from premium taxation by the Department.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

Based on the above, the Administrative Law Judge recommends that the Department's position that TDMC is an industrial insured subject to the premium taxation pursuant to A.R.S. §20-401.07 be affirmed.

Done this day, December 5, 1996.

LEWIS D. KOWAL

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Original of the foregoing mailed this day of December, 1996, to:

John King, Director Attn: Curvey Burton Department of Insurance 2910 North 44th Street, #210 Phoenix, AZ 85018-7256

By:

(